U.S. Foreign Pelicy: Prebiems and Challenges for 1963

by Basert J. Manning Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs:

It is fushionable at this time of year to talk of new chapters, of turning points. This year it is not only fushionable but extremely pertinent. As we lock from January 1903 into the future, we are struck first by how much of the past is indeed past. Consider for a moment what has happened to our world in the relatively few years since the war. The four basic impulses that have dominated international affairs since 1945 have come either to an end, to clear turning points, or to a state of major transformation.

I refer to the reconstruction of Europe, the dismantling of the colonial system that prevailed over much of the earth for more than two centuries, the almost unhindered physical domination of the free world by the United States. and the emergence of the cold war. Each of these basic impulses has either ended, to be replaced by new forces an i circumstances, or has been so altered in character as to represent a break between the recent bast and a future that has already began. I do not want to be misunderstood when I include in this list the cold war: it is still very much with us, and will be for much time to come, but profound changes have been taking place within the system that mounted that political war effort and within the West's carneities as I can graphies for

It can be said that these leads impulses have charged more than our own reflexes or the yeard alony with which we think and talk about the problems and challenges which confront the United States in world affiles.

While thinking in old terms and talking with old slogans, we have corned south face beer carried—into an era of new chapters, of new adventures—and of new risks. As these new chapters begin to infold, we will find ourselve in the state of mind of the obstime Chlorgenewspaper editor who one day chiled his staff together and decreed: "What this newspaper needs is some new diches."

It is possible that the Western World today stands, politically and e-commently, on the verge of a great release of energy and organizational genius that has a certain parameter to the great outburst of geographical and are lighted exploration after the Crussia's, when Europe propelled itself around the globs.

The analogy is tricky or if an easily be overblown. What I mean let if it we have before us a year or more of make deckers, many of them uncharted in year of , any unknown with may require of political and see onic nodes the same degree of imagination, daring soul hardship—that carried the Magellians, the Vespuces, the Hudsons, the Marce Poles our into the uncharted framers of their extcivilization.

Of the namy big unknowns that inexorably will be evolving into in own quantities in the months to come, these are surged the medimportant:

What will be the course of the Societa Tales a idealogical split, and what opportunities or in a ands will at present to the first world?

What will be the ships of Europe and the Common Market? Reinted to that, how we'l the alliance solve its inter-tiled engages puls

³ Address made before the Broome County World Affairs Council at Blagfaunton, N.Y., on Jan. 11 (press release 24).

the globe, make us further detendent on our allies to carry a greater share of the burden. They can now afford it.

This recital-and there could be more -is not designed to suggest the likelihood of a retrenched American foreign policy but rather to dramatize the inevitability of the historic trend which the President or webcd in his July 4 address on Atlantic in endependence. That trend to increasing military, diplomatic, and economic cohesion between the Western Europeans, the North Americans, and Japan is the Atlantic wave of the future. In months to come there will be many manifestations of differences, of discord, perhaps even fallings-out among ailies over given problems and iscues. But it is important that these squalls of choppy water not be mistaken for the big wave which, in the opinion of many who shape policy here and across the Atlantic, is the inexerable one.

One must be wary of emphoria on this point. There are difficult interludes alread as we try to work out with our allies solutions to problems that perriex them, or us, or both of us. If, by some misfortune, negotiation between Britain and the Common Market full, drastic improvisations may be recessary to avoid serious corrector within the entire ciliance. If, as we hope, those negotiations approach the United States. Camada, and Japan must be prepared for adjustments at home that may be onerous for some in their misis. They must be prepared, too, with imaginative programs for beining to assure that the last difful are gained the Common Market is not carned inward but a tward, to ease the fears and eminate the one arfunities of the underd-veloped countries.

There will be long and compile to a minimations as we attend to work out, in a marner acceptable to the allie, and outselves, an answer to the European's before to have a greater share in the deated of the tordear power that now rises so largely with the.

The National agree out with Religiot is a large step toward the estallament of a naddlateral arrangement. But nowly more topowill be required by large, there is an expectation of the religion of each state.

In some ways this most complex of problems, bristly with conflicting national prides and arbitions, may yet prove the most benediciar bristians, at dramatices more charry the D'Artagena indivisibility of the free world's positionain a nuclear showdown it is quite samply "all for one and one for all." The logic of this allomates the logic of increasing interdependence in all fields.

We cannot altogether look ahead without looking briefly backward—to Cuba, to the recent Chinese Communist aggression against India, to the long-building rift within the Communist bloc.

The Experience in Cuba

Calca has many meanings for us and, one because for the Soviet Union. It aggests that in the nuclear age the willingness to use nower is the first requisite of the avoidance of the actual use of those weapons. It demonstrated that the Soviet Union was capable of a gross misreading of American reaction to a politiconnlitury invasion of this hemisphere. It raised the perplexing question-as did Korea. the Communist count of Czechoslovakia, the institution of Vist-Nam-of how as anarely the Krandin assessed the will and candidities of th. West to resist aggression. It showed, in the reaction of unalined capitals around the world. nated neutralisms A lease part of the world shared relief are admiration at the calm, the Shred way the Landans were for ed to both then effective weapons one of Caba. It galthe ununimous support of the Organia tree, of American States and the squaert of our other

It is not product to conclude that what we'd in Color will been in mostler man. In there is no mostler man. American power and of we to the most power. Not so will be so a "go exist table that one such eaths drawll as one "go exist table that one such eaths drawll as one "go exist table that one such eaths drawll as one is good for well discounted where Western interestication, is well-nearlife. It is possible, he were, that the Color experience may provable more caution, on the part of Soviet leaders.

Admittedly, Cuba is not finished. Several

^{*} Ibbs., July 23, 1902, p. 101.

^{*} Thid . Jan. 14, 1965, p. 65

then and Soviet military personnel for any we want to be those troops an of there. And Castro remains, with his Market Length and or the Culoir people depending a ryly on Sovie buttlessing for its communicant. For a clong as communism remains on the island, not roley is on leave in the Caribbean.

The Crisis Within Communism

As a last stop in this perhaps too ambitious tour of the horizon, consider the ideological eruption within the Communist bloc. It is difficult for Western observers and probably for Communist observers -to predict where this will end and how. It was not too long ago that the experts were insisting on the tibus, are neal unity" of the bloc. New we see the monothing riven by a quarrel between China and Russia that many consider to be irre-avable. Obviously a rift in the blue wealons the power and the appeal of communism; it means more difficulties for Moscow, and it robs Priping of its large source of the materials and the support it needs to convert its failures into the beginnings of successes. In months to come the discontinuamay have serious effect on moral cand direction within Communist parties all over the world. Two words of caution, however, along this crisis within communism:

First, the West cannot be certain that a complete rift, undarnossing a hate-probabled, unrelenting Communist China from the comparative restraints of Soviet Russia, will be a good thing for the West. Second, it should be kept in mind that this is still chiefly an ideological quarrel, not over whether communism will bury us but how communism will bury us. The desire to perform the burial ceremony still exists as strongly in Moscow as in Peiping.

With these reservations in mind, the Chinese-Russian dispute adds significantly to the dilemma that now faces communism. From Moscow's point of view, the road ahead must seem to consist of three possible forms:

One, a continued expansion of military force in order to persist in assuming great risks, as they have been doing in recent years in Berlin and Cuba, while continuing to press aggressively for the breaks in the underdeveloped areas.

Fac, a control for that the armaments race is a control damperon, and hopeless course, that it is not be failed, at the expense of some control of the West in disarroament, in order to transfer strained resources to agriculture, second good, and industrial production.

Three, a pause, in which to reduce internatorial tension and tackle some of the many of tent problems confronting the Soviet leaderten and to provide time for choice as to which other form to follow.

The West must, of course equip itself to cope with any of these alternatives. If, as some believe, the third course is the one Moscow is how encosing: if, as some believe, Soviet leaders are inclined to more caution; if, as many believe, the Comments system cannot shoulder its own and the internal problems and the massive burden of the continuing nuclear arms buildup—if all these probabilities are at work, the West is moving into a time when it can push strongly forward with its huge task of international architecture.

What Is Required of Americans?

Another full speech could be devoted to a discutistic of what precisely this task requires of Americana. Instead, let us consider briefly a few of the more evident needs.

First, to get our own house in order. Integration has moved at little more than a tolern page in America. In the words of Secretary Rush, which these problems of discrimination here in our own country are the largest single burden we bear in the conduct of our foreign relations. In this time we got on with it and lightened that unfair burden.

It is time, too, to substitute for sterile delette over "win" and "no-win" policies a truly constrainty dialog to attain objectives that are unaumously shared by Americans. It is not enough to complain, for example, about a "mers in Loof" or redmos in the Congo." There must be an honest facing up to alternatives.

Also we have much to do at home to stimulate our economy to productivity and ellicioney. Sensible tax reforms, an imaginative use of the new tools in the Trade Expansion Act, tangible

JANUARY 28, 1960 140

^{*} Ibid., Dec. 17, 1962, p. 907.

actions to improve our lagging social and health processes—these are but a few of the reputhat are necessary to the harmonal trumesa and health without which we are not going to run the race that is being demanded of us.

Surely few of us are satisfied with the pallid state of American education. We are not educating for the future. As Walter Lippmann puts it: "As we fail to educate adequately one generation of school children, the evil results of this failure do not appear fully until these children grow up and become the uneducated parents of a still less educated generation."

Finally we must look with fresh, if sharp, eyes at the uses and needs for economic aid in foreign policy. It is understandable that after all these years of dispensing foreign aid there should be fatigue impatience, even some disillusionment over the results-understandable but not tolerable. Foreign aid is a major instrument of American foreign policy. Foreign policy in turn is simply the means of protecting and furthering the American interest. The program has suffered in recent years; it is going to suffer to the point of mutilation this year unless Americans find it in themselves to inspire a rededication to the proposition that a great part of the power of the world's richest nation lies in its ability constructively, and self-interestedly, to apply that power where it will do most for freedon. The public has a right to expect an increasingly hardheaded, realistic aid program from the administration. The administration for its part has the right to expect enlightened support from the public.

History, as the President wrote recently, is what men ninke of it. There has never been a more challenging year in which to make it. Having begin with many questions, I should like to conclude with one: Are we going to do it?

U.S. Makes Short-Term Credit Available to Brazil

Press teleptor produced Jenning 7

Following consultations with Ambassador Roberto Campo, acting on behalf of the Brazilian Government, the U.S. Government is making available a shore-term cuefit totaling \$30 mailion to Brazil, which as repayable in 90 days.

The Brazilian Government has stated that it is preparing definite plans and measures for putting into force, beginning early 1963, an offective program to limit inflationary pressures as well as a development plan designed to support strong and balanced economic growth. Certain actions in line with this objective have already been taken, including particularly the approval in November 1962 of legislation designed to help in reducing the potential Government budget deficit in 1963 and to initiate a broad reform of Brazil's tax structure and collection machinery.

The Government of Brazil has indicated its intention to initiate, at an early date, discussions with the United States, other countries, and appropriate international financial institutions both in order to describe the measures it is planning to take to achieve financial recovery and assure sustained economic growth as well as with a view to exploring what external financial support may be available to supplement the Brazilian effort.

United States Assures Saudi Arabia of Support and Friendship

Following is the text of a letter from President Konnedy to Grown Prison Faysal of Saudi Arabia.

White Hours press release (Palm Beach, Fig.) deted January S

October 25, 1982

Your Highness: As Your Ulglaness assumes 'ew and intertain responsibilities upon returning to Saudi Arabia, I wish to recall your visit to the White House on October 5.3 I then stated, and I want it understood clearly, that Saudi Arabia can depend upon the friendship and the exponsible of the United States in dealing with the nearly tasks which lie before it in the days alread. The United States has deep and abiding interest. Saudi Arabia and in the stability and progress of Saudi Arabia. Under your firm and enlightened leadership I

[&]quot;Her text of a foint communique, see Barrenry of Oct. 20, 1962, p. 644.